

NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY

P 921: National Conference of Judges of District Judiciary on "*Law & Technology (IPR and Cyber Laws)*" 10-04-15-12-04-15

Name of Programme Coordinator: Prasadh Singh

No of Participants: 26

No of Evaluation Forms: 24

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	87.5	12.5	0	
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	70.83	29.16	0	
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	87.5	12.5	0	
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	70.83	29.16	0	
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	59.09	36.36	4.54	
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. useful to my work	70.83	29.16	0	
b. comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	86.36	13.63	0	
c. up to date	91.30	8.69	0	
d. related to Constitutional vision of justice	43.47	56.52	0	
e. related to international legal norms	50	50	0	
III STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	78.26	21.73	0	
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.	46.15	53.84	0	

Group discussions				
Case studies	80.95	19.04	0	
Interactive sessions	50	45	5	
Simulation Exercises	30	70	0	
Audio Visual Aids	57.89	42.10	0	
IV. INDIVIDUAL SESSIONS				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized	36.84	52.63	10.52	
b. The session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	71.42	28.57	0	
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	77.27	22.72	0	
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	90.47	9.52	0	
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	90.90	9.09	0	
VI. RESOURCE PERSONS				
Name of the Resource Persons	Highly Effective & Useful	Moderate	Not Satisfactory	Remarks
1. Mr. Justice Kurian Joseph	100	0	0	
2. Mr. Justice Yatindra Singh	100	0	0	
3. Mr. Thomas Dougherty	40.90	54.54	4.54	4. Due to language problem
4. Mr. G.R. Raghavendra	45.83	54.16	0	
5. Mr. Vipin Aggarwal	37.5	54.16	8.33	
6. Mr. Uday Singh	56.52	34.78	8.69	
7. Mr. Anand Desai	54.16	45.83	0	
8. Dr. S. Murugan	65.21	34.78	0	
9. Mr. Sajan Poovayya	83.33	16.66	0	
10. Ms. Rajdeep Banerjee	37.5	62.5	0	

11. Mr.Biswajit Sarkar	41.66	54.166	4.16	
12. Dr.Mohan Dewan	66.66	33.33	0	
13. Ms.N.S.Nappinai	34.78	65.21	0	
14. Mr.Rodney D.Ryder	26.08	73.91	0	
Overall, Resource Persons had expertise relevant to the sessions in which they participated	87.5	12.5	0	

VII. HOSPITALITY

PROPOSITIONS	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Remarks
a. Arrangements for my reception and transport to and from railway station/ airport	91.66	8.33	0	
b. Services at the reception counter	95.83	4.16	0	
c. Hygiene and facilities in the room	95.83	4.16	0	
d. Quality of food	90.47	9.52	0	
e. Arrangements in dining halls	86.95	13.04	0	
f. Assistance from travel desk for changes in travel reservations	82.60	17.39	0	

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Came to know a lot in about in academic and technical aspects of the laws discussed; would be able to use in the practical matters; Require regular updated discussions on the matter. 2. Trade mark Act; Copyright Act and Electronic Evidence. 3. IPR including Patent; Trade mark and Copy Right. 4. Trade mark Act; Copyright Act and Electronic Evidence. 5. Exposure given on a new subject; Get to know about the judges from various parts of India and their area of working; Got an opportunity to know about and evaluate the knowledge levels of judges. 6. Learned about IPR Acts and Cyber Laws; Present day problems; Guide lines for the disposal of case. 7. - 8. Jurisdictional issues in Trade mar, Copy right and Patent disputes; Liabilities in case of Cyber Crime with case studies; Reliability of Electronic Evidence. 9. The programme gives us the minimum and fundamental required legal knowledge to understand and approach to the subject under the programme. 10. Got basic idea of Cyber crime , IPR and digital evidence. 11. Copyright; Trademark and Electronic Evidence. 12. Admissibility of Electronic evidence about Trade secrets and comparative study of trademarks and advertisement violations. 13. Reliability of Electronic Evidence; Comparative Advertisement and Trademark violations; Cyber Stalking and defamation. 14. Admissibility of Electronic seconds; cyber defamation; trademark, copy right and patent disputes.
---	--

	<p>15. New insight into Cyber Law; Updates on Cyber law; core discussion and interaction. 16,17,18 19. Trademarks, Copyright Act and Cyber Crime. 20. Electronic Evidence; Violation of Trademark and Copyright. 22. Comprehensive definitions of IPR; concept of jurisdiction in Cyber crime; Admissibility of Electronic evidence. 23. Updated knowledge at Cyber Law; Interactive sessions with law experts; Practical knowledge sharing by experts.</p>
<p>b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. All the discussions and deliberations which took place intermixing the law along with the practical aspects in India and abroad. 2. Session 11 Software Protection under the Indian Copyright Act and Initiatives taken by the Copyright Office/ Amendments in the Copyright ACT and its Impact ; Session 12- Reliability of Electronic Evidence; Session 13- Reliability of Electronic Evidence 3. Reliability of Electronic Evidence 4. Session 11 Software Protection under the Indian Copyright Act and Initiatives taken by the Copyright Office/ Amendments in the Copyright ACT and its Impact ; Session 12- Reliability of Electronic Evidence; Session 13- Reliability of Electronic Evidence. 5. Session 1- Jurisdictional Issues in Trademark, Copyright & Patent Disputes and Session 10 -<i>Cyber Defamation</i>- the resource persons are extremely resourceful and on the point. Able to connect with the participants. 6. Reliability of Electronic Evidence by Hon'ble Justice Kurian Joseph; Useful for disposal of cases. 7.- 8. Reliability of Electronic Evidence. 9. Well planned programme. 10. Reliability of evidence; Got practical approach regarding reliability and admissibility of electronic evidence. 11. Electronic evidence 12. Session 12- Reliability of Electronic Evidence; Session 13- Reliability of Electronic Evidence are most useful because it is very effective to my court work related to this area. 13. Role of Courts in evaluating / apprising anathema of cyber crime, the violence of which is increasing manifold day by day in the courts. 14. Admissibility of Electronic records. 15. Address by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Yatinder Singh and Hon'ble Justice Kurian Joseph because they are of immense practical value. 16, 17, 18. Justice Yatindra Singh's lecture was so attractive and useful to me then Dr.S. Murugans. 19. Cyber crime. 20. Electronic Evidence. 22. Most of the part. 23. Lecture and interaction with His Honour Justice Kurian Joseph.</p>

<p>c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There were several discussions where common matters were discussed. 2. None 3. Does not arise 4. None 5. Nil 6. Combating Piracy: by Mr. Thomas Dougherty. Related to WS laws. 8. None. 9-; 15; 10. All were useful to some extent. 11. Overview fo IP issues in the IT industry. 12. None 13. Soft ware protection technology, too technical to understand for the judges holding traditional courts of law. 18. Overall all lectures were good. 19. Overall lecture is good. 20. Related presentation. 22. Found none. 23. NA
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NJA may communicate with judicial officers, region basis, through the High Courts knowing the issues from them or may ask for sending the issues directly. NJA may make available, if possible al the PowerPoints in the website of NJA. 2-; 4; 7; 10; 3. It is ok. 5. A programme on Indian Constitution and Indian Penal Code may be conducted. 6. Resource Person’s short notes with regard to the case laws may be supplied to the participants. 8. If possible, it would be more beneficial if copy of presentation of resource persons is supplied to the participants. 9. Please take care of sending the programme and the programme and the material to the participants at least one month in advance because the participant has to adjust the work in his court(as each progarmme makes the participant to spend five days away from the court place) and secondly the participants to get himself / herself acquainted with the subject and have good interaction in the programme. 11. This course should have 50:50 HR and cyber laws. It is 80:20. Whole programme is handled by a junior law associate. 12. A conference should be organised for judges- prosecutors- Higher police officials –forensic experts and doctors under one roof for sharing their liability and responsibility for disposal of heinous cases within reasonable time. 13. Interactive and participative. Sessions must be increased and atleast 50% of the time should be devoted/ kept reserved for interactive sessions. 15. Just keep it up like this. 16, 17. 18. No suggestions are required. All is well. 19. No suggestion is required. Over all good. 20. Reading material should be given in soft format. 21- 22. Yoga schedule can be arranged. 23. Please secure separate for question- answer session.