

# NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY

## P-892: National Conference of the Presiding Officers of the Courts under SC/ST (POA) Act -January 23-25, 2015

Name of Programme Coordinator: Ms. Nidhi Gupta

No of Participants: 25

No of Evaluation Forms: 24

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	79.17	16.67	4.16	
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	58.33	41.67	0.00	
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	70.83	29.17	0.00	
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	70.83	29.17	0.00	
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	62.50	37.50	0.00	
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. useful to my work	66.67	33.33	0.00	
b. comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	45.45	54.55	0.00	
c. up to date	45.00	55.00	0.00	
d. related to Constitutional vision of justice	50.00	50.00	0.00	
e. related to international legal norms	35.29	47.06	17.65	
III STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	40.91	54.55	4.54	
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
Group discussions				
Case studies	57.14	38.10	4.76	
Interactive sessions	52.38	47.62	0.00	

Simulation Exercises	<b>33.33</b>	<b>61.11</b>	<b>5.56</b>	
Audio Visual Aids	<b>37.50</b>	<b>43.75</b>	<b>18.75</b>	
<b>IV. INDIVIDUAL SESSIONS</b>				
<b>PROPOSITIONS</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized	<b>36.36</b>	<b>63.64</b>	<b>0.00</b>	
b. The session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	<b>61.90</b>	<b>33.33</b>	<b>4.77</b>	
<b>V. PROGRAM MATERIALS</b>				
<b>PROPOSITIONS</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	<b>66.67</b>	<b>33.33</b>	<b>0.00</b>	
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	<b>58.33</b>	<b>37.50</b>	<b>4.17</b>	
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	<b>52.38</b>	<b>33.33</b>	<b>14.29</b>	
<b>VI. RESOURCE PERSONS</b>				
<b>Name of the Resource Persons</b>	<b>Highly Effective &amp; Useful</b>	<b>Moderate</b>	<b>Not Satisfactory</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
Hon'ble Mr. Justice K.C. Bhanu Judge, A. P. High Court	<b>95.83</b>	<b>4.17</b>	<b>0.00</b>	1. Useful to every criminal trial.
Dr. Gobinda C. Pal Director, Indian Institute for Dalit Studies, Delhi	<b>45.83</b>	<b>50.00</b>	<b>4.17</b>	
Mr. Martin Macwan Senior Activist	<b>52.38</b>	<b>47.62</b>	<b>0.00</b>	
Mr. Sirivella Prasad Senior Activist	<b>52.17</b>	<b>34.78</b>	<b>13.05</b>	
Dr. Usha Ramanathan Senior Activist	<b>50.00</b>	<b>45.83</b>	<b>4.17</b>	
Dr. Saumya Uma	<b>4.35</b>	<b>73.91</b>	<b>21.74</b>	
Mr. Sumit Baudh	<b>8.70</b>	<b>56.52</b>	<b>34.78</b>	
Mr. S.L. Thausen Additional DG - Atrocities Act	<b>61.90</b>	<b>33.33</b>	<b>4.77</b>	
Ms. Nidhi Gupta Assistant Professor, NJA Bhopal	<b>90.91</b>	<b>9.09</b>	<b>0.00</b>	
Overall, Resource Persons had expertise relevant to the sessions in which they participated	<b>66.67</b>	<b>26.67</b>	<b>6.66</b>	

## VII. HOSPITALITY

PROPOSITIONS	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Remarks
a. Arrangements for my reception and transport to and from railway station/ airport	<b>78.26</b>	<b>21.74</b>	<b>0.00</b>	
b. Services at the reception counter	<b>75.00</b>	<b>25.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	
c. Hygiene and facilities in the room	<b>87.50</b>	<b>12.50</b>	<b>0.00</b>	
d. Quality of food	<b>78.26</b>	<b>21.74</b>	<b>0.00</b>	
e. Arrangements in dining halls	<b>78.26</b>	<b>21.74</b>	<b>0.00</b>	
f. Assistance from travel desk for changes in travel reservations	<b>71.43</b>	<b>28.57</b>	<b>0.00</b>	

## VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. To follow the Rules and Regulations; To consider the circumstances; To decide the case for giving or doing the justice.</li> <li>2. To expedite disposal of cases under the Act; To learn more about the SC/ST (PoA) Act and its various provisions.</li> <li>3. It is a very useful programme for me as I have learnt so many things during these days.</li> <li>4. Human dignity is an important task to be maintained equal behavior among all; procedural clarifications from beginning to end trial; to avoid discrimination among every human being or to prevent such type of social evils.</li> <li>5. Try to be generous to the problems of SC/ST; Speedy trial of their cases; Try to understand their problem.</li> <li>6. Tenets of SC/ST (PoA) Act, 1989; ensuring effective use of law to protect human dignity and the role of courts; Regarding early and effective disposal of PoA Act cases.</li> <li>7. ST peoples –Land acquisition and other problems; the Hon’ble SC judgments indicated by Hon’ble Judge Mr. Kc Bhanu.</li> <li>8. Knowledge updated; views of others known who were judges of other states, activists .</li> <li>9. Practical knowledge; Case Law – studies on related act; otherwise knowledge acquired out of the particular subject.</li> <li>10. to sensitise us with SC/ST problems, to adhere us with more wider horizons of laws; particularly Forest laws and rehabilitation problems of Tribals.</li> <li>11. We will actually achieve something out of this programme if the outcome of discussion is carried for stakeholders.</li> <li>12.— ,17. –, 18. –,20. –</li> <li>13. Had good interaction with programmes; we could know how to deal with the cases which comes under sec 3 of SC/ST Act and also with reference cited by Hon’ble Justices.</li> <li>14. The programme is useful in special court SC/ST cases trial and discussion.</li> <li>15. Learning from different officers interaction; SC/ST Act 1989 is more clear to me through lectures; Audio visual was interesting.</li> <li>16. Legal positions; appreciation of evidence;</li> <li>19. Over all it is useful to great extent.</li> <li>21. To make update on Law; To make update on different amendments required and made in each state; to make update on social aspect.</li> </ol>
---	---

	<p>22. Challenges faced by the Courts.</p> <p>23. Understand the object of the Act; Case law and citation; Conditions of SC/STs.</p> <p>24. This programme helped the judges of special courts regarding social and economic context of marginalization and case atrocities in our country and also role of law in protecting human dignity.</p>
<p>b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Hear the Hon'ble Justices and the Activities.</li> <li>2. Challenges faced by the Courts in proper implementation of the SC/ST (PoA) Act.</li> <li>3. All parts fo the programme I find most useful as I have learnt so many things on the subject.</li> <li>4. Appreciating the evidence while ascertaining question of acquittal and conviction under SC/ST cases.</li> <li>5. The cases cited by Justice Bhanu because we can take them in use in our daily work.</li> <li>6. Challenges faced by the courts in proper implementation of SC/ST (PoA) Act related programme was most useful as all aspects of POA act was discussed properly.</li> <li>7. Hon'ble Mr. Justice KC Bhanu's speech attracts us, especially his knowledge in Criminal Law.</li> <li>8. All parts of the programme, not specific.</li> <li>9. Justice KC Bhanu lectures and case law study is most useful for to gain extra knowledge on relevant subject.</li> <li>10. The lecture of Dr. Usha Ramanathan.</li> <li>11. The classes taken by Jusitce Bhanu.</li> <li>12. Most useful</li> <li>13. Justice Bhanu's programme who has enlightened us with reference to the deciskions of Apex Court which is useful for just deciding the cases.</li> <li>14. Ensuring Access to Justice in protecting rights of victim under the Act</li> <li>15. More of details in given provisions of law is not beneficial.</li> <li>16. Lectures of Hon'ble Judge KC Bhanu useful, what are important factors to get considered to convict the accused or to acquit him; closing message given by Ms. Nidhi Gupta to protect the dignity of every human being.</li> <li>17. The lectures of Hon'ble KC Bhanu were most useful because they dealt with the real problems in conducting trial of criminal cases.</li> <li>18. Ensuring effective use of law to protect human dignity; Justice KC Bhanu to give methodologies by Law.</li> <li>19. Right of Tribals</li> <li>20. Justice KC Bhanu's lecture</li> <li>21. The judge works within four corners of law without sentiment, however, the studied personalities on activism are heard and their study naturally on social aspect will help to legal system on application of law to help social aspect and to remove atrocities to a great extent.</li> <li>22. Dynamic nature of atrocities and expectations from law.</li> <li>23. Case laws and citations referred by Hon'ble KC Bhanu.</li> <li>24. This programme gradually improve the judicial work in relation to conducting cases under SC/ST (PoA) Act .</li> </ol>
<p>c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. To hear Research scholars because the date shown to us was not proper and acceptable. But the research scholars tried their best to find out the real picture.</li> <li>2. Status of tribals in India</li> <li>3. All parts of the programme is very useful.</li> <li>4. Lectures delivered by Mr. Sumit Baudh and Mr. Srivella Prasad.</li> <li>5. Most of the topics were of that nature.</li> <li>6. Programmes related to SC/ST (PoA) Act as an instrument for social</li> </ol>

	<p>reform was least useful as the programme remained social reform lecture and nothing more.</p> <p>7. No comments, 8. No, 10. NA, 12. --, 13—</p> <p>9. Dr. Usha Ramanathan, Dr. Saumya Uma and Sumit Baudh lectures as I find it least useful in the Conference.</p> <p>11. Mr. Sumit Baudh</p> <p>14. The SC/ST (PoA) Act as an instrument for social reform.</p> <p>15. A lecture outside the topic is least interested.</p> <p>16.—,17.--, 18. --, 19.--, 20.--, 21.-- ,23.--</p> <p>22. Implementation of the SC/ST Act. Critical analysis of the performance of the Courts.</p> <p>24. This programme overrides the problem faced by the Judges of Special courts in proper implementation of the SC/ST (POA) Act.</p>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. I would like to hear the expert of FSL and also the expert of Medical because the same would be helpful to decide the case.</p> <p>2. Such type of programme should be arranged in every six months regularly.</p> <p>3. There should be one session where only for participants to share their Experiences.</p> <p>4. Discussion on question of improvement of human conduct and behavior with positive and negative aspects of thought and impact of various religion taking away dignity of one person of community.</p> <p>5. The programme was well designed but the resource persons do not adhere to the topic which has been assigned to them.</p> <p>6. Holding regular seminars on different subjects at regular intervals by the Academy.</p> <p>7. SC peoples, Dalits had come and address for the welfare of Dalit. Will not inspire the participants. Better to allow upper class people to address the welfare of SC will attracts the participants.</p> <p>8. Not much lectures on legal provisions.</p> <p>9. Focus on subject of the Conference; Participant better travel system. Arrangement of tickets from their place of posting to destination is a big problem.</p> <p>10. The training programme must be extended for atleast for ten days.</p> <p>11. The discussions should be totally on the topic which shall actually help the participants in their work.</p> <p>12. Provide notes of subjects discussed.</p> <p>13. No such suggestion as the Academy is covering in all aspects.</p> <p>14. The programmes should be organised every year in NJA.</p> <p>15. Resource person be confined to the given topic; Legal resource persons may please be given paper representations.</p> <p>16. A journey of thousand miles begins with a step, now NJA will mae the judicial officers to see perfect.</p> <p>17.--, 18. 20.—, 21.--, 23.--,</p> <p>19. Only suggestion is that to provide recent judgment of citations of the Apex Court.</p> <p>24. Conduct such type of programme twice in a year, serve us better and improve judicial work in relate ot conducting cases under SC/ST (POA) Act.</p>