

National Judicial Academy

P-1206: National Convention for Senior High Court Justices: Strengthening Fiscal and Administrative Protocols in High Courts
15th – 16th February, 2020

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Sumit Bhattacharya and Ms. Paiker Nasir, Faculty

No. of Participants : 26

No. of forms received : 22

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	77.27	22.73	-	16. Excellent.
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	68.18	31.82	-	16. Excellent.
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	72.73	27.27	-	16. Excellent.
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	63.64	36.36	-	16. Excellent.
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	77.27	22.73	-	16. Excellent.
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	70.00	30.00	-	16. Excellent.
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	35.29	64.71	-	16. Excellent.
c. Up to date	73.68	26.32	-	16. Excellent.
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	42.86	50.00	7.14	11. Not really relevant to the programme.

				16. Excellent.
e. Related to international legal norms	35.71	50.00	14.29	16. Excellent.
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITION	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	61.90	38.10	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Interactive sessions were fruitful	72.73	22.73	4.54	-
(ii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	46.67	46.67	6.66	-
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	58.82	41.18	85.71	14.29
2	62.50	37.50	80.00	20.00
3	62.50	37.50	80.00	20.00
4	75.00	25.00	66.67	33.33
5	64.29	35.71	66.67	33.33
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	50.00	50.00	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	50.00	50.00	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	57.89	36.84	5.27	3. Requires underwing

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

<p>1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Participant did not comment. 2. Sharing of experiences by Hon'ble Judges from Supreme Court & the colleagues. 3. Concerned official from High Court Registry could have been a part of discussion. 4. None. 5. Participant did not comment. 6. Participant did not comment. 7. 1. Understanding the role of senior judge; 2. Administrative function of a Chief Justice; 3. Budgeting for the judiciary. 8. & 9. Participant did not comment. 10. Come to know about the details of budgetary part of the system. 11. Participant did not comment. 12. To develop budgetary skill. 13. Learnt new aim of problem of budgeting/ improving efficiency of judicial administration. 14. to 22. Participant did not comment.
<p>2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Participant did not comment. 2. Interactive. 3. Generally speaking all sessions were useful. 4. <i>Session 4: Pre Budget Planning & Travaux Préparatoires and Session 5: Budgeting: Issues & Challenges</i> – practical and purposeful. 5. Interactive. 6. Pre budget planning; Budgeting issues & challenge. 7. The programme, overall was quite useful. 8. <i>Session 4: Pre Budget Planning & Travaux Préparatoires and Session 5: Budgeting: Issues & Challenges.</i> 9. Participant did not comment. 10. Relating to budgetary expenditure. 11. The trial session, because of my inadequate knowledge on the topic. 12. Pre-budget. 13. None. 14. to 19. Participant did not comment. 20. Professionals observations- far more focused than the general discussions. 21. <i>Session 4: Pre Budget Planning & Travaux Préparatoires and Session 5: Budgeting: Issues & Challenges.</i> 22. Participant did not comment.

<p>3. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment. 2. None. 3. Participant did not comment. 4. None. 5. & 6. Participant did not comment. 7. NA. 8. to 11. Participant did not comment. 12. Nil. 13. to 19. Participant did not comment. 20. General rhetorical discussions. 21. & 22. Participant did not comment.</p>
<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment. 2. Study material was not received in advance. Content in a particularly session was large, which could not be covered in the session. 3. Food quality requires improvement. 4. None. 5. to 7. Participant did not comment. 8. Improve food quality. 9. Second day first session should start 9:00 or 9:30 am last session should be concluded official 12:30 or 1:00 pm without curtailing period of the last session. 10. & 11. Participant did not comment. 12. All effective programmes be scheduled. 13 to 19. Participant did not comment. 20. It would be better to have academicians & professionals talk to us. 21. & 22 Participant did not comment.</p>