

National Judicial Academy
P-1193: Refresher Course for CBI Courts
06th – 08th December, 2019

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Rahul I. Sonawane, Research Fellow
No. of Participants : 33
No. of forms received : 31

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	93.55	6.45	-	3. Good.
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	100.00	-	-	3. Good.
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	90.32	9.68	-	3. Good.
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	96.77	3.23	-	3. Very good.
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	80.65	19.35	-	3. Good. 28. Time was insufficient.
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	82.76	17.24	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	82.76	17.24	-	-
c. Up to date	72.41	27.59	-	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	70.37	29.63	-	-

e. Related to international legal norms	37.04	59.26	3.70	-
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITION	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	93.10	6.90	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	84.00	16.00	-	-
(ii) Case studies were relevant	75.00	25.00	-	-
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	78.57	21.43	-	-
(iv) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	53.57	46.43	-	-
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	86.21	13.79	90.00	10.00
2	100.00	-	94.74	5.26
3	89.29	10.71	89.47	10.53
4	82.14	17.86	89.47	10.53
5	85.71	14.29	78.95	21.05
6	92.86	7.14	94.44	5.56
7	92.86	7.14	89.47	10.53
8	96.15	3.85	88.24	11.76
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	93.10	6.90	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected	93.10	6.90	-	-

recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area				
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	93.10	6.90	-	-

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS	
a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Many points are cleared during the programme. 2. Many things and doubts has been clear in day to day proceedings. 3. None. 4. It was very relevant in the context we are wisely & dealing with the matters. 5. Giving importance of sentencing provision before awarding actual sentence. 6. None. 7. Participant did not comment. 8. 1. Recent developments; 2. Precedents & its importance. 9. New learnings, skills & ideas. 10. The various case laws gain update knowledge of the programme. 11. None. 12. It was very knowledgeable and I am looking forward to come again If get chance. 13. 1. It updates my knowledge; 2. I have also learn few new things. 14. All were good. 15. Participant did not comment. 16. Participant did not comment. 17. Information about new amendments; Participants view in various subject. 18. Sanctioning and sentencing part. 19. None. 20. 1. The amended provision of P.C. Act regarding sanction; 2. Concept of electronic evidence is clear; 3. I have learn more regarding principals to sentencing practices in corruption cases. 21. Participant did not comment. 22. 1. Discussion on latest amendment under PC act and; 2. Electronic evidence; 3. Forensic evidence. 23. Participant did not comment. 24. <i>Session 5: Cyber Frauds in Banks: Modus Operandi of Crime-</i> was a new subject for me, as I had not tried any such case; 2. Interactive session on forensic evidence is CBI cases was very informative; 3. Lecture on sentencing by Justice A.V. Chandrashekar broadened the horizon.

	<p>25. The sentence; 2. The processing of documents for speeding up the trial; 3. The electronic evidence discussion.</p> <p>26. 1. Programme enhanced my knowledge; 2. Latest rulings were known to me by this programme; 3. New knowledge was provided in forensic evidence.</p> <p>27. 1. Programme enhanced the knowledge about the laws dealing with P.C. Act; 2. Aware about the recent case laws; 3. Interaction with judges of the other state.</p> <p>28. Received a very good study material along with discussions.</p> <p>29. Updated latest settled position of law thorough study of the topics high discussions.</p> <p>30. 1. For the betterment of the knowledge; 2. To clear the concept of anti-corruption laws; 3. To remove the doubts about the subject.</p> <p>31. How to deal with electronic evidence. Matters regarding prosecution sanction; Forensic evidence in criminal matters.</p>
<p>b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. Every part of programme is very useful for the CBI cases.</p> <p>2. Forensic and cyber related topics were good and most useful. Sentencing faced as well.</p> <p>3. Session 5: Cyber Frauds in Banks: Modus Operandi of Crime.</p> <p>4. Cyber frauds in banks; because it is an evening crime & its modus operandi will enable us it look into the nation unknown to us.</p> <p>5. All programme was found useful to me because it helps me to improve my concept of anti-corruption law.</p> <p>6. Session 5: Cyber Frauds in Banks: Modus Operandi</p> <p>7. Electronic evidence was most useful on we use it day to day basis.</p> <p>8. All the programme are equally useful since the resource persons are renowned Is such a way.</p> <p>9. Session 8: Sentencing Practices in Corruption Cases.</p> <p>10. Session 2: Prosecution of Civil Servants: Sanction for Prosecution; Session 6: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation & Appreciation and Session 8: Sentencing Practices in Corruption Cases. Are very useful. Adequately enriched regarding section, Electronic evidence and sentencing.</p> <p>11. Cyber law, appreciation of evidence (effective).</p> <p>12. I find every part of the programme useful.</p> <p>13. All were very useful.</p> <p>14. All</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>17. Lecture by Advocate N.S. Nappinai and lecture by Justice Sridharan and Justice A.V. Chandrashekar.</p> <p>18. All the topics are useful especially the sanction of servant, electronic evidence admissibility U/s 65B, sentencing policy.</p> <p>19. Session 1: CBI: Why is this a Preferred Investigating Agency?; Session 2: Prosecution of Civil Servants: Sanction for Prosecution; Session 3: Prosecution of Civil Servants: Arrest & Investigation.</p>

	<p>20. Electronic evidence.</p> <p>21. Session 2: Prosecution of Civil Servants: Sanction for Prosecution; Session 3: Prosecution of Civil Servants: Arrest & Investigation; Session 6: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation & Appreciation and Session 7: Forensic Evidence in CBI Cases.</p> <p>22. Economic offences and cyber frauds are useful as cases are filed and will be helpful in dealing with day to day cases.</p> <p>23. Session 1: CBI: Why is this a Preferred Investigating Agency?; Session 2: Prosecution of Civil Servants: Sanction for Prosecution; Session 3: Prosecution of Civil Servants: Arrest & Investigation; Session 4: Economic Offences: Banking and Corporate Frauds; Session 5: Cyber Frauds in Banks: Modus Operandi of Crime. Session 6: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation & Appreciation and Session 7: Forensic Evidence in CBI Cases and Session 8: Sentencing Practices in Corruption Cases.</p> <p>24. Forensic evidence in CBI cases, because, expert evidence is often considered by courts in coming to the just conviction of case and the session was very informative.</p> <p>25. The sentencing one; It stressed upon the need of adequate sentencing.</p> <p>26. Sentencing practices in corruption cases before delivered by Hon'ble Justice A.V. Chndrashekar I find it most useful as he told very good case law and his practical experience regarding this topics.</p> <p>27. Session 6: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation & Appreciation.</p> <p>28. Session 1: CBI: Why is this a Preferred Investigating Agency?; Session 2: Prosecution of Civil Servants: Sanction for Prosecution; Session 3: Prosecution of Civil Servants: Arrest & Investigation; Session 6: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation & Appreciation and Session 8: Sentencing Practices in Corruption Cases.</p> <p>29. Prosecution sanction area sentencing policy; Electronic evidence – discussion most useful.</p> <p>30. All the parts of the programme are most useful.</p> <p>31. With regards to – Prosecution sanction- because it relates to daily courts work.</p>
<p>c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. No.</p> <p>2. All parts were knowledgeable.</p> <p>3. Session 1: CBI: Why is this a Preferred Investigating Agency? – Subject is not important for CBI case.</p> <p>4. None.</p> <p>5. No programme are found least useful.</p> <p>6. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>7. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>8. Does not arise.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. No such case.</p> <p>11. Each part of programme I find useful because it was prepared nicely.</p> <p>12. I find every part of the programme useful.</p>

	<p>13. NA.</p> <p>14. None.</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>18. Cyber frauds as more lectures are required on this topic and the time allotted is less.</p> <p>19. <i>Session 5: Cyber Frauds in Banks: Modus Operandi of Crime; Session 6: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation & Appreciation.</i></p> <p>20. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>21. <i>Session 1: CBI: Why is this a Preferred Investigating Agency?</i></p> <p>22. <i>Session 1: CBI: Why is this a Preferred Investigating Agency?</i> – It says about the investigation of CBI.</p> <p>23. <i>Session 1: CBI: Why is this a Preferred Investigating Agency?</i></p> <p>24. None.</p> <p>25. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>26. All the programme were useful.</p> <p>27. <i>Session 1: CBI: Why is this a Preferred Investigating Agency?</i></p> <p>28. <i>Session 5: Cyber Frauds in Banks: Modus Operandi of Crime; Session 6: Electronic Evidence: Collection, Preservation & Appreciation-</i> are useful but the presentation was not up to the expectation.</p> <p>29. Cyber fraud because this subject need a comprehensive training & cannot be confined to 1 and half how to get the better idea of subject.</p> <p>30. Does not arise.</p> <p>31. Why CBI is preferred agency.</p>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. Programme is very affective and regularly held.</p> <p>2. Time of these type of topics should be extended at least one week. It would be more effective and apart from Sunday.</p> <p>3. None.</p> <p>4. The activity entire need on overall.</p> <p>5. No Suggestion.</p> <p>6. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>7. The programme should be mode relating to matter and in practical approach and to know the procedure by the other officers in similar situation.</p> <p>8. More study materials books and e-books may be furnished.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. The programme schedule may be intimate in advance and study material may be send of email as learn one week before to be more effective.</p> <p>11. More practical issues relating to subject cases needs to be discussed.</p>

	<p>12. I have no suggestion to make, I am fully satisfied the way programme was conducted and I am looking forward to visit again.</p> <p>13. NA.</p> <p>14. Nothing existing method is good enough.</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>18. Kindly make arrangements for city tour, Sanchi Stupa etc. after session are over.</p> <p>19. Adequate topic needs it be issued within programme.</p> <p>20. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>21. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>22. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>23. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>24. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>25. Must call for some good expert on electronic evidence as speaker.</p> <p>26. This kind of programme should be regularly organized.</p> <p>27. To organize the programme on the recent a management in the various laws and to arrange highly potential, experts of the law.</p> <p>28. Please try to have more days i.e. at least 5 days and that will help to give more time for sessions like cyber frauds.</p> <p>29. Training should be extended to at least 5 days to stretch the sessions & to include discussion time in each session.</p> <p>30. To extend the tenure of the programme. To arrange the programme of curtain current status to cape up the recent practicing issues.</p> <p>31. Time period may be extended up to 5 days; Regular programmes may more effective.</p>
--	---