

National Judicial Academy
P-1128: Conference for High Court Justices
02nd - 04th November, 2018

Programme Coordinator : Ms. Nitika Jain, Law Associate
No. of Participants : 18
No. of forms received : 14

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	92.86	7.14	-	2. It was educational and provided enough food for thought & new approaches.
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	85.71	14.29	-	
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	78.57	21.43	-	
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	64.29	35.71	-	5. Some doubts remain
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	92.31	7.69	-	10. Please consider having the names of Hon'ble Judges and High Courts they come from displayed in the conference table (Similar to resource persons) 14. The programme could have been more interactive one rather than discourse oriented.

II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	71.43	28.57	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	78.57	21.43	-	-
c. Up to date	71.43	28.57	-	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	78.57	21.43	-	-
e. Related to international legal norms	23.08	76.92	-	
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITION	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	84.62	15.38	-	14. Unsatisfactory having regard to Hon'ble CJJ's instructions, the programme should have been restricted to Saturday & Sunday (1½ days i.e. 3&4 Nov.) Entrenching constitution vision of justice (2.11.18) & Managing judicial review (3.11.18) could have been blended together)
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Interactive sessions were fruitful	63.64	27.27	9.09	
(ii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	42.86	57.14	-	3. No audio visual demonstrations.
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory

1	64.29	35.71	63.64	36.36
2	71.43	28.57	60.00	40.00
3	71.43	28.57	70.00	30.00
4	78.57	21.43	80.00	20.00
5	64.29	35.71	60.00	40.00
6	71.43	28.57	70.00	30.00
7	61.54	38.46	62.50	37.50
8	76.92	23.08	87.50	12.50

V. PROGRAM MATERIALS

PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	85.71	14.29	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	71.43	28.57	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	92.86	7.14	-	-

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. Really informative. Especially judicial review.</p> <p>2. It was overall thought provoking. There was nothing new except some new dimensions to existing principles in the context of constitutional vision.</p> <p>3. Would be difficult to list. Each session had its own uniqueness.</p> <p>4. 1. Each of the programme led by expert speakers; 2. Programmes got sufficient material feedback with sufficient chance of participation.</p> <p>5. Wider vision; precise understanding; encouraging for future research.</p> <p>6. Some new methods into subject of corporate law and manipulation etc. Some beneficial guidelines with respect to electoral disputes and exercise of discretion.</p> <p>7. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. Got broader perspective on each of the topics; Got better tuning of the ideas and notions that I had. The study materials were good for updating ourselves.</p>
---	---

	<p>10. 1. A tome capsuled and captured in 8 sessions spread over 2½ days; 2. Wide spectrum captured in 2½ days; 3. Exchange of ideas and experience with other participants.</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>12. To critically analyze the legal proposition while deciding cases as per latest material.</p> <p>13. 1. Crisp and latest information; 2. Quality of speakers was enriching.</p> <p>14. 1. In penning judgments with social purpose when they relate to a large section of the society; 2. Effective understanding and use of precedential jurisprudence; 3. Understanding the limits of judicial review.</p>
<p>b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. Session 4: Precedents: Navigating through Precedential Conflicts; Session 6: Managing Judicial Review within Separation of Powers and Democratic Framework.</p> <p>2. Judicial review & precedents.</p> <p>3. Session 4: Precedents: Navigating through Precedential Conflicts; Session 6: Managing Judicial Review within Separation of Powers and Democratic Framework.</p> <p>4. All programmes as each is relevant for court purpose.</p> <p>5. All sessions as they all involved facilitating the finer points being understood with more clarity.</p> <p>6. Session 1: Entrenching the Constitutional Vision of Justice; Session 2: Social Context Judging as a Controlling Element in Statutory Interpretation and Exercise of discretion.</p> <p>7. Session 4: Precedents: Navigating through Precedential Conflicts; Session 6: Managing Judicial Review within Separation of Powers and Democratic Framework.</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. In fact this time all the sessions and topics were worth attending as they are of daily use for High Court Judges.</p> <p>10. Supra</p> <p>11. Session 1: Entrenching the Constitutional Vision of Justice; Session 2: Social Context Judging as a Controlling Element in Statutory Interpretation and Exercise of discretion; Session 3: Impact of Media on Public Perception regarding vitality of Justice Delivery; Session 4: Precedents: Navigating through Precedential Conflicts; Session 5: Role of the Judiciary in Adjudicating Electoral Disputes: Free and Fair Elections and Session 6: Managing Judicial Review within Separation of Powers and Democratic Framework.</p> <p>12. Constitutional vision and precedents.</p> <p>13. Debates on relevant topics has indeed broadened my vision.</p> <p>14. ‘Precedents’ & ‘Judicial review’ are the 2 sessions quite useful as they come handy in discharging day to day functions.</p>
<p>c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. Election laws: Emphasis was more on expenditures, disposal of election petitions without realizing the difficulties faced by judges because of over burden of cases.</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment.</p>

	<p>4. Enjoyed all programmes as all programmes are useful.</p> <p>5. None.</p> <p>6. Session 5: Role of the Judiciary in Adjudicating Electoral Disputes: Free and Fair Elections.</p> <p>7. Session 3: Impact of Media on Public Perception regarding vitality of Justice Delivery.</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. None.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>12. Money Laundering.</p> <p>13. Hardly any time to read study material.</p> <p>14. Session 3: Impact of Media on Public Perception regarding vitality of Justice Delivery.</p>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. Keeping in view new directions of CJI the programmes in future should be brought down to two days Saturday & Sunday.</p> <p>3. So far so good.</p> <p>4. Can it be reduced to 1 and ½ days so that we may not lose Monday work considering from the point of flight connectivity.</p> <p>5. By inviting suggestion on topics to be discussed.</p> <p>6. Everything was excellent. Thanks.</p> <p>7. I hardly got time to read through the study materials. It would be useful, if you provide a synopsis of the study material.</p> <p>8. As per Hon'ble CJI's request not to attend conference on court working day, some arrangements to be done by NJA. In fact 2½ working days is lost.</p> <p>9. The sessions can be reduced and the duration of each session can be increased for greater participation.</p> <p>10. Please see 1(e) supra.</p> <p>11. Make provision for utilizing the library by allowing the judges/retired judges staying in the campus on payment basis.</p> <p>12. By asking the resource persons to be more particular about the topic meant for them.</p> <p>13. Please provide any access to the study material used at NJA.</p> <p>14. Already mentioned supra.</p>