

NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY

SE-8: National Seminar for Members of the Central Administrative Tribunal

16th – 17th December, 2017

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Rahul I. Sonawane, Research Fellow, NJA, Bhopal.

No. of Participants : 15

No. of forms received : 10

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	50.00	50.00	-	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	50.00	50.00	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	50.00	50.00	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	50.00	50.00	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	40.00	40.00	20.00	-
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	60.00	40.00	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	40.00	40.00	20.00	-
c. Up to date	40.00	40.00	20.00	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	50.00	40.00	10.00	-

e. Related to International Legal Norms	11.11	33.33	55.56	-
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITIONS	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	40.00	50.00	10.00	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	37.50	25.00	37.50	-
(ii) Case studies were relevant	12.50	62.50	25.00	-
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	55.56	44.44	-	-
(iv) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	14.29	57.14	28.57	-
<i>(To be modified as per the sessions planned)</i>				
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and useful	satisfactory
1	33.33	66.67	28.57	71.43
2	44.44	55.56	50.00	50.00
3	33.33	66.67	42.86	57.14
4	44.44	55.56	42.86	57.14
5	37.50	62.50	28.57	71.43
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	80.00	20.00	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/	60.00	20.00	20.00	-

policy in the discussed area				
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	50.00	50.00	-	-
VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS				
a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Participant did not comment. 2. Session by Retd. Justice Kannan. 3. Sharing of experience with participants. 4. Interaction with other counterparts and come to know about their difficulties and problems. Guidance from the Resource Persons. 5. Participant did not comment. 6. 1. Interaction with other member. 2. Good Reading material. 7. Court Hierarchy 2. Judgement writing. 8. 1. Orientation for Court Management in CAT. 2. Enhancing skills required for deciding cases handled by CAT. 9. 1. Updated Myself 2. Overall changing manner of thinking 3. Be cool in court. 10. 1. Jurisdictional Issues. 2. Art of Judgment writing 3. Scope of Precedents. 			
b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Participant did not comment. 2. The Compilation by NJA. 3. Session-2 <i>Judicial Discretion in Adjudication. Session-4 Precedents : Identifying the Ratio Decidendi</i> 4. Every part of the programmes was very useful as it was well structured, planned and logically arranged. 5. Only the discussions, which could have been for more. 6. Participant did not comment. 7. 1. Precedents- useful in work. Discretion - On what it is not. 8. Judgment Writing & Precedents 9. Session-1 <i>CAT: Contours of Jurisdiction; Relevant Constitutional & Administrative Law Principles. Session-2</i> <i>Judicial Discretion in Adjudication. Session 3: The Art, Craft and Science of Judgment Writing</i> 10. Discourse of Justice M Sharma and J. Karnan. 			
c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Participant did not comment. 2. Session on precedents left us more confused. 3. E-courts. 			

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. No part is not useful. 5. Participant did not comment. 6. Participant did not comment. 7. Contours jurisdiction. E- Courts. Judgement writing. 8. Judicial Discretion. 9. Session-4 <i>Precedents: Identifying the Ratio Decidendi</i> Session 5: Courtroom Technology: Moving towards ‘e-Courts’. 10. <i>E-Courts</i>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Participant did not comment. 2. Invite resource persons from SC and HC. Session on e-courts without budgetary allocation and roadmap on how to get the e-courts running is merely theoretical. A status Report based on parameters be commissioned and a road map for implementation be drawn. 3. Presentation by individual members on their concerns and follow up be made. 4. Participant did not comment. 5. Participant did not comment. 6. Participant did not comment. 7. Critiques in regard to Session-1 <i>CAT: Contours of Jurisdiction; Relevant Constitutional & Administrative Law Principles.</i> 8. Practical Demonstration on some aspects of e-courts. A session on legal research. Discussion on important judgments relating to precedent/ Ratio etc. 9. There should be group discussion before the program 10. Erstwhile Chairperson of CAT may be invited to share their experiences.