

NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY

P-1095: National Judicial Conference for High Court Justices
20th to 22nd April, 2018

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Shashwat Gupta, Law Associate, NJA, Bhopal
No. of Participants : 18
No. of forms received : 11

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	81.82	18.18	-	10. Yes
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	30.00	70.00	-	10. Yes
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	36.36	63.64	-	10. Yes
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	36.36	63.64	-	10. Yes
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	54.55	45.45	-	10. Mostly
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	27.27	72.73	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	45.45	54.55	-	-
c. Up to date	33.33	66.67	-	-

III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITIONS	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	36.36	63.64	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Case studies were relevant	54.55	45.45	-	-
(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	45.45	54.55	-	-
(iii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	22.22	66.67	11.11	
<i>(To be modified as per the sessions planned)</i>				
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	54.55	45.45	66.67	33.33
2	36.36	63.64	37.50	62.50
3	36.36	63.64	50.00	50.00
4	81.82	18.18	87.50	12.50
5	72.73	27.27	75.00	25.00
6	81.82	18.18	87.50	12.50
7	63.64	36.36	87.50	12.50
8	54.55	45.45	75.00	25.00
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	63.64	36.36	-	10. Very good
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy	54.55	45.45	-	10. Indicative of deep research.

in the discussed area				
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	36.36	63.64	-	10. Good

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS	
1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. Improved vision of constitutional aspect in process of judging.</p> <p>2. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>3. Overview of the relevant Acts & provisions; Practical experience of the Resource Persons.</p> <p>4. 1. Touching different subjects; 2. Opportunity to interact with the resource persons; 3. Interaction with fellow judges.</p> <p>5. 1. The fallacy of the election laws; 2. The money laundering Act discussion is useful; 3. The guidelines for judicial review.</p> <p>6. 1. Session with regard to judicial review is a good experience and is useful; 2. It has given perspectives to analyze situations for the purposes of the adjuration; 3. Discussion have given broader perspective to understand the subject.</p> <p>7. It helped to widen our vision, channelize thought process and interaction with resource persons & colleagues.</p> <p>8. 1. Information regarding lot of idea in the concerned areas; 2. Source material.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p>
2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	<p>1. Session 1: High Courts: Guardians of District Judiciary; Session 4: Contemporary Challenges for Judicial Review, Policing Governance within Separation of Powers Framework; Session 5: Construing the Sounds of Constitution's Speech: Meanings Beyond Text and Session 6: Superior Courts: Managing Judicial Review within the Democratic Framework</p> <p>2. Session 1: High Courts: Guardians of District Judiciary; Session 8: Money Laundering. The prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002: Origins and Evolution.</p> <p>3. Judicial Review- Practical & Relevant.</p> <p>4. Session 4: Contemporary Challenges for Judicial Review, Policing Governance within Separation of Powers Framework; Session 5: Construing the Sounds of Constitution's Speech: Meanings Beyond Text and Session 6: Superior Courts: Managing Judicial Review within the Democratic Framework</p> <p>5. The discussion on "the fraud and manipulation repercussions" has been useful.</p> <p>6. Session 4: Contemporary Challenges for Judicial Review, Policing Governance within Separation of Powers Framework; Session 5: Construing the Sounds of</p>

	<p>Constitution’s Speech: Meanings Beyond Text and Session 6: Superior Courts: Managing Judicial Review within the Democratic Framework and Session 7: Corporate Fraud & Manipulation: Repercussions, Deterrent Mechanisms and Judicial Approach.</p> <p>7. Session 4: Contemporary Challenges for Judicial Review, Policing Governance within Separation of Powers Framework; Session 5: Construing the Sounds of Constitution’s Speech: Meanings Beyond Text and Session 6: Superior Courts: Managing Judicial Review within the Democratic Framework - Since we are constitutional functionaries it helped appreciate the various nuances of review, its limitations and understanding the concept of construing sounds of constitution's speech.</p> <p>8. Interactive session and reading material.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. Each part has its own relevance.</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p>
<p>3. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. Session 2: Free and Fair Elections – Vitalizing our Democratic Fabric: The Way Forward; Session 3: Free and Fair Elections – Vitalizing our Democratic Fabric: The Way Forward.</p> <p>2. Session 2: Free and Fair Elections – Vitalizing our Democratic Fabric: The Way Forward; Session 3: Free and Fair Elections – Vitalizing our Democratic Fabric: The Way Forward.</p> <p>3. Free and Fair Elections.</p> <p>4. Session 2: Free and Fair Elections – Vitalizing our Democratic Fabric: The Way Forward; Session 3: Free and Fair Elections – Vitalizing our Democratic Fabric: The Way Forward- Not very relevant for judiciary.</p> <p>5. Vitalizing our Democratic Fabric for judges hardly have any role, except to decide the petitions.</p> <p>6. Session 8: Money Laundering. The prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002: Origins and Evolution.</p> <p>7. Session 2: Free and Fair Elections – Vitalizing our Democratic Fabric: The Way Forward; Session 3: Free and Fair Elections – Vitalizing our Democratic Fabric: The Way Forward- Not very relevant for judiciary- and particularly its presentation. It did not evince much interest as expected.</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p>

<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. After pointing out challenges – suggestion should also come out.2. Participant did not comment.3. Participant did not comment.4. None5. Some subject of day to day work if may include in the discussion it would be better.6. Participant did not comment.7. Participant did not comment.8. Participant did not comment.9. Participant did not comment.10. Participant did not comment.11. Participant did not comment.
---	---