

ANNUAL CONFERENCE ON BENCH AND BAR RELATIONSHIPS AT THE HIGH COURT
LEVEL

Serial No.	Table of Index	Page. No
SESSION 1		
1.	Role of the Bar in a Democracy, by Justice Y. K. Sabharwal, (Delivered on 25.02.2006)	
2.	Sensitization of Judicial Officers in Dispensation of Justice with a focus on Court Craft & Court Conduct and Bench & Bar Relationship, by Justice M.M. Kumar	
3.	Forum Juridicum: The Ideal Relationship between the Bench and the Bar, by Joe B. Hamiter, 20 La. L. Rev. (1960) (Available at: http://digitalcommons.law.lsu.edu/lalrev/vol20/iss4/6)	
4.	Relationship between the Bar and the Bench, by Justice C. Nagappan, SCC (2202) 1 LW (JS) 65	
5.	“Duties and Conduct of Advocates” by Justice P. Sathasivam <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Professional Conduct and Etiquette – Rules and decisions of this Court</i> • <i>Duty to the Court</i> • <i>Advocates Role and Ethical Standards</i> 	
6.	Role of the Bench and the Bar, by Justice Mirza Hameedullah Beg <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Broad purpose of Bench and Bar</i> • <i>Shrunk and organically interlinked modern world</i> • <i>Common Scientific approach to Justice</i> • <i>What the Judge requires from the Advocate</i> • <i>Power of Judge and Advocate over the Judicial Process</i> • <i>A Source of Legitimate Pride to Indian Citizens</i> • <i>The responsibility of the Constitutional role</i> 	
7.	The Partnership of Bench and Bar, by Edward D. Re (2017) Vol. 16 : No. 3 ,Article 4. (Available at: http://scholarship.law.stjohns.edu/tcl/vol16/iss3/4)	
SESSION 2		
1.	Cases Time Forgot: Why Judges can sometimes ignore controlling Precedent, 56 Emory L.J. 1475 2006-2007 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Experiencing ignored precedent</i> • <i>Precedent in theory</i> • <i>Precedent in the ordinary course</i> • <i>Avoiding precedent</i> 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Ignored precedent revisited</i> 	
2.	<p>Constrained by Precedent, by Larry Alexander, 63 S. Cal. L. Rev. 1 1989-1990</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The first model: Giving precedent its natural weight</i> • <i>The second model: The rule model of precedent</i> • <i>The third model: The result model of precedent</i> • <i>The natural and Rule models of precedent revisited</i> 	
3.	<p>Reconciling Originalism and Precedent, by John O. McGinnis & Michael B. Rappaport, 103 Nw. U. L. Rev. 803 (2009)</p> <p><i>Precedent, Originalism, and the Constitution</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Supposed Conflict Between Originalism and Precedent</i> • <i>A short history of Precedent</i> • <i>The Consistency of Originalism and Precedent</i> <p><i>The Normative Theory of Precedent</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Supermajoritarian Theory of Constitutional Originalism</i> • <i>The Relative Benefits of Original Meaning and Precedent</i> • <i>Factors Relevant to Beneficial Precedent Rules</i> • <i>The Contrast with Other Approaches to Precedent</i> 	
4.	<p>The Precedential Value of Solitary High Court Rulings in India: Carving An Exception to the Principle of Vertical Stare Decisis, by Chintan Chandrachud, 2011 Lawasia J. 25 2011</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>A brief overview of high courts in India</i> • <i>Stare decisis and the position in India</i> • <i>Analysis of case law on the exception to the conventional rules on stare decisis</i> • <i>Proposal for implementing the system of diagonal precedent in subordinate courts and tribunals in India</i> 	
5.	<p>Determining the Ratio Decidendi of a Case, by Arthur L. Goodhart, The Yale Law Journal, Vol. 40, No. 2 (Dec., 1930), pp. 161-183</p>	
6.	<p>The Doctrine of Precedent and the Problem of Relevance, by Rolf Sartorius, ARSP (<i>Archives for Philosophy of Law and Social Philosophy</i>), Vol. 55, No. 3 (1967), pp. 343-366</p>	
7.	<p>A Perspective on Precedents</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Historical and theoretical background</i> • <i>Ratio decidendi, obiter dicta, per incuriam and sub silentio</i> 	
8.	<p>The Conflict between Stare Decisis and Overruling in Constitutional Adjudication, by Steven J. Burton</p> <p><i>The Problem: Unbridled Discretion to Overrule</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Mistake Approach to Overruling</i> • <i>The Prudential Approach to Overruling</i> • <i>The “Special Justification” Approach to Overruling</i> • <i>Some Problems with Unbridled Discretion to Overrule</i> <p><i>A Proposed Constitutional Law of Overruling</i></p>	

SESSION 3

1.	Bar, Bench, and Civic Culture, by Geoffrey C. Hazard, Jr., 39 Hastings Int'l & Comp. L. Rev. 267 2016	
2.	Should Judges Regulate Lawyers?, by Eli Wald, 42 McGeorge L. Rev. 149 2010-2011 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Meaning of Judicial Regulation of Lawyers</i> • <i>Judicial Promulgation of Rules of Conduct</i> • <i>Judicial Enforcement of Rules of Conduct</i> 	
3.	The Disability Dilemma: A Skeptical Bench & Bar, by Wendy F. Hensel, University Of Pittsburgh Law Review [Vol. 69:637] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Facing The Skeptics: The Dominant Paradigms Of Disability</i> • <i>Creating The Skeptics: A Primer In Suspicion</i> • <i>Addressing The Skeptics: Proposals For Change</i> 	
4.	Attitude of Bench and Bar toward Reform in Judicial Procedure, <i>Journal of the American Institute of Criminal Law and Criminology</i> , Vol. 2, No. 4 (Nov., 1911), pp. 617-619, Published by: Northwestern University School of Law	
5.	The Evolving Concept of Professional Responsibility, by Thomas D. Morgan, Harvard Law Review, Vol. 90, No. 4 (Feb., 1977), pp. 702-743. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Analytic Framework</i> • <i>The Priorities In The Present Code</i> • <i>What Does The Future Hold</i> 	
6.	Judicial Attitudes Toward Confronting Attorney Misconduct: A View From the Reported Decisions, by Judith A. McMorrow, Jackie Gardina, and Salvatore Ricciardone, Hofstra Law Review 32, (2004): 1425-1474. <i>The Judge's Ethical Obligation to Address Attorney Conduct Issues in The Courtroom the Code of Judicial Conduct</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Overview of Judicial Ethics Obligation</i> • <i>Informal Approaches: Appropriate Action</i> • <i>Judicial Ethics Obligation to Report to the Bar</i> 	
7.	Legal Profession: Challenges and Prospects & The Art of Advocacy, by Justice F.M. Ibrahim Kalifulla <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Co-operation between the Bench and the Bar is a necessity</i> • <i>What the counsel owes to the court</i> • <i>Advocates' duty towards the Opponent & Opposing Counsel</i> • <i>Effect of Non-Compliance; Instances of Professional Misconduct</i> 	

SESSION 4

1.	Symposium Introduction: Our Love-Hate Relationship With Heroic Lawyers, by W. Bradley Wendel, 13 Widener L.J. 1 2003-2004	
----	---	--

2.	<p>Judicial Professionalism and the Relations Between Judges and Lawyers, by Randall T. Shepard, 14 Notre Dame J.L. Ethics & Pub. Pol'y 223 2000</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>What Is Ex Parte Anyway?</i> • <i>"They're All A Part Of The Same Crowd"</i> • <i>The Lawyer Who Doesn't Like Me</i> • <i>"Take Both Contributions And Decide The Case</i> • <i>On The Merits"</i> 	
3.	<p>Strained Relations: How Judges and Lawyers Perceive the Coverage of Legal Affairs, by Jack C. Doppelt, The Justice System Journal, Vol. 14/15, No. 3/1 (1991), pp. 419-444</p>	
4.	<p>Private Lives and Professional Responsibilities? The Relationship of Personal Morality to Lawyering and Professional Ethics, by Carrie Menkel-Meadow, 21 PaceL. Rev. 365 (2001)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Problems</i> • <i>Philosophical Solutions</i> • <i>Practical Solutions: How Should A Lawyer's Private Life or Personal Morality Affect Professional Responsibility?</i> 	
5.	<p>The Role of Personal Values in Professional Decision making, by Bruce A. Green, Georgetown Journal Of Legal Ethics [Vol. 11:19]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>How Personal Values May Influence Professional Decision-making</i> • <i>The Professional Obligation To Exclude Personal Morality And Religion From The Decisionmaking Process</i> • <i>The Role Of Personal Morality And Religion In The Exercise Of Professional Discretion</i> 	
SESSION 5		
1.	<p>Just Conduct: Regulating Bench-Bar Relationships, by Dana Ann Remus, <i>Yale Law & Policy Review: Vol. 30: Iss. 1, Article 4</i> <i>The World Turned Upside Down: Judicial Acquiescence to Bar Influence</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Reform Process: Recasting Judiciaries as Part of the Profession</i> • <i>The ABA Model Codes: Preferential Access and Tightening Ties</i> <p><i>Too Close For Comfort: The Dangers of The Bar's Model</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Threat to Judiciaries</i> • <i>The Threat to the Profession</i> <p><i>Proposals For Reform: Moving Toward Collaborative Governance.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>An Ideal Model</i> • <i>Predicates to Reform</i> • <i>Theoretical Contours of Reform</i> • <i>Proposed Code Revisions</i> <p>(Available at: http://digitalcommons.law.yale.edu/ylpr/vol30/iss1/4)</p>	
2.	<p>Narrowing the Bar – Bench communication gap, by Vicki Quade, 9 B. Leader 26 1983-1984</p>	

3.	On the relations between Judges and lawyers, <i>Consultative council of European Judges Opinion No. (2013) 16 (adopted at the 14th plenary meeting of the CCJE)</i>	
----	---	--