

Contents

<u>Training Module</u>	
1.	Training Module- Central Law , Animal Welfare Board of India (AWBI)
<u>Articles</u>	
1.	S.A. Rahman, L. Walker and W. Rickettes, “ Global perspective on animal welfare: Asia, the Far east, and Oceania ”, Rev Sci Tech. 2005 Aug;24(2):597-612
2.	David N. Cassuto, “ United States v. Stevens: Win, Loss or Draw for Animals? ”, Journal for Animal Ethics, 2 (2012), University of Illinois Press, Ferrater Mora Oxford Centre for Animal Ethics
<u>Animal Welfare - Case Laws</u>	
1.	<i>Animal and Birds Charitable Trust and Ors Vs. Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai and Ors, 2015 (4) ABR 242, 2015 (4) Bom CRI</i>
2.	<i>Animal Welfare Board of India (AWBI) Vs. A. Nagaraja and Ors. (AIR 2014 SCW 3327)</i>
3.	<i>People for Animals Vs. Mohazzim and Ors., 2015 (3) RCR (Criminal)94 [High Court of Delhi]</i>
4.	<i>Laxmi Narain Modi Vs. Union of India (UOI) and Ors., (2014) 3SCC 143</i>
5.	<i>Gauri Maulekhi Vs. Union of India., W.P. (C) No. 881/2014 with W.P. (C) No. 210/2015</i>
6.	<i>Mrs. Gauri Maulekhi Vs. Government of Uttarakhand and Ors., W.P. (PIL) No. 41 of 2013 [High Court of Uttarakhand at Nainital]</i>
7.	<i>Gauri Maulekhi Vs. State of Uttarakhand and Ors. W.P. (PIL) No. 77 of 2010</i> <p style="text-align: center;">With</p> <i>People for Animal Dehra Dun, Dehradun Vs. State of Uttarakhand and Ors. W.P. (PIL) No. 73 of 2010</i> <i>[High Court of Uttarakhand at Nainital]</i>
8.	<i>Ramesh Sharma vs. State of Himachal Pradesh, CWP No. 9257 of 2011 and CWO No.s 4499 and 5076/2012 , MANU/HP/0934/2014 [High Court of Himachal Pradesh]</i>
9.	<i>Animal Welfare Board of India Vs. People for elimination of stray troubles and Ors., SLP (C) 691/09</i>

10.	<i>People for Animals through Mrs. Norma Alvares and Anr Vs. The state of Goa through its Chief Secretary and Ors, 1998 (100(1)) BOMLR 226, 1997 (4) Bom CR271</i>
<u>Wildlife Protection - Case Laws</u>	
1.	<i>Sansar Chand vs. State of Rajasthan, Criminal Appeal No. 2024 of 2010, SLP (Crl.) No. 5599 of 2009</i>
2.	<i>State of M.P. and Ors Vs. Madhukar Rao, Appeal (civil) 5196 of 2001 with Criminal Appeal No.487 of 2006</i>
3.	<i>State of U.P. and Anr Vs. Lalloo Singh, Appeal (crl.) 963 of 2001</i>
4.	<i>Mohammed Ismail Vs. State of Kerala, 2004 (3) KLT 322</i>
5.	<i>Moti Lal Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation and Another, 2002 AIRSCW 1626, AIR 2002 SC 1691</i>

Animals and Birds Charitable Trust and Ors.
Vs.
Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai and Ors.

Equivalent Citation: 2015(4)ABR242, 2015(4)BomCR1

Hon'ble Judges/Coram:

Abhay Shreeniwas Oka and A.K. Menon, JJ.

Brief Facts

In this Public Interest Litigation, the attention of the Court is invited to the plight of the horses and ponies used for victorias and horse carriages in the City of Mumbai. It is pointed out that the victorias/horse carriages are being used in the City of Mumbai only for joyrides and not as a mode of public conveyance. The attention of the Court is also invited to the conditions of horse stables in the City of Mumbai.

The First Petitioner made an Application under the Right to Information Act, 2005 seeking information about the licences granted to the stables of horses and ponies used for pulling the carriages and joyrides in the City of Mumbai. The information was furnished by the First Respondent Mumbai Municipal Corporation. The Municipal Corporation stated that a licence under Section 394 of the Mumbai Municipal Corporation Act of 1888 is issued for horses' stables. It was, however, contended that the subject of granting permission to keep the horses for entertainment/horse rides/joy carts does not come within the purview of the Public Health Department of the said Corporation. It was stated that the prosecutions have been lodged against the four horse stables from "D" Ward Office. there were four horse stables existing in the city of Mumbai which are unlicensed for which the prosecutions have been lodged. The Municipal Corporation forwarded to the Petitioners special conditions which are incorporated in the licenses granted for keeping the horses. In the said stables, the horses are consistently standing in their own dung for hours. The dung is thrown into dustbins and is allowed to flow into the drains. The stables are maintained in a very unhygienic condition. The dung of the horses and ponies can cause tetanus